

12. Järvisalo J, Hakama M, Knekt P, *et al.* Serum tumor markers CEA, CA 50, TATI, and NSE in lung cancer screening. *Cancer* 1993, 71, 1982–1988.
13. Bergman B, Brizicka F-T, Engström C-P, Larsson S. Clinical usefulness of serum assays of neuron-specific enolase, carcinoembryonic antigen and CA-50 antigen in the diagnosis of lung cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1993, 29A, 198–202.
14. Johnson DH, Marangos P, Forbes JT, *et al.* Potential utility of serum neuron-specific enolase levels in small cell carcinoma of the lung. *Cancer Res* 1984, 44, 5409–5414.
15. Cooper EH, Splinter TAW, Brown DA, Muers MF, Peake MD, Pearson SL. Evaluation of radioimmunoassay for neuron-specific enolase in small cell lung cancer. *Br J Cancer* 1985, 52, 333–338.
16. Quoix E, Charloux A, Popin E, Pauli G. Inability to predict disease extent in small cell lung cancer based on initial level of serum neuron-specific enolase. *Eur J Cancer* 1993, 16, 2248–2250.
17. Souhami RL, Bradbury I, Geddes DM, Spiro SG, Harper PG, Tobias JS. Prognostic significance of laboratory parameters measured at diagnosis in small cell carcinoma of the lung. *Cancer Res* 1985, 45, 2878–2882.
18. Jørgensen LGM, Østerlind K, Hansen HH, Cooper EH. Serum neuron specific enolase (NSE) is a determinant of response duration in small cell lung cancer (SCLC). *Br J Cancer* 1992, 66, 594–598.
19. Harding M, McAllister J, Hulks G, *et al.* Neurone specific enolase (NSE) in small cell lung cancer: a tumour marker of prognostic significance? *Br J Cancer* 1990, 61, 605–607.
20. Nou E, Steinholtz L, Bergh J, Nilsson K, Pählman S. Neuron-specific enolase as a follow-up marker in small cell bronchial carcinoma. *Cancer* 1990, 65, 1380–1385.
21. Van Zandwijk N, Jassem E, Bonfrer JMG, Van Tinteren H. Value of neuron specific enolase in early detection of relapse in small cell lung carcinoma. *Eur J Cancer* 1990, 26, 373–376.
22. Bergh J, Esscher T, Steinholtz L, *et al.* Immunocytochemical demonstration of neuron-specific enolase (NSE) in human lung cancers. *Am J Clin Path* 1985, 84, 1–7.
23. Dhillon AP, Rode J, Dhillon DP, *et al.* Neural markers in carcinoma of the lung. *Br J Cancer* 1985, 51, 645–652.
24. Sundaresan V, Reeve JG, Stenning S, Stewart S, Bleehen NM. Neuroendocrine differentiation and clinical behaviour in non-small cell lung tumours. *Br J Cancer* 1991, 64, 333–338.
25. Graziano SL, Mazid R, Newman N, *et al.* The use of neuroendocrine immunoperoxidase markers to predict chemotherapy response in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 1989, 7, 1398–1406.
26. Skov BG, Sørensen JB, Hirsch FR, Larsson LI, Hansen HH. Prognostic impact of histologic demonstration of chromogranin A and neuron specific enolase in pulmonary adenocarcinoma. *Ann Oncol* 1991, 2, 355–360.
27. van Zandwijk N, Jassem E, Bonfrer JM, Mooi WJ, Van Tinteren H. Serum neuron-specific enolase and lactate dehydrogenase as predictors of response to chemotherapy and survival in non-small cell lung cancer. *Semin Oncol* 1992, 19 (suppl. 2), 37–43.
28. Berendsen HH, de Leij L, Poppema S, *et al.* Clinical characterization of non-small-cell lung cancer tumours showing neuroendocrine differentiation features. *J Clin Oncol* 1989, 7, 1614–1620.



Pergamon

European Journal of Cancer Vol. 30A, No. 5, pp. 576–577, 1994  
 Copyright © 1994 Elsevier Science Ltd  
 Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved  
 0959-8049/94 \$7.00+0.00

0959-8049(93) E0080-A

## Radiosensitivity Testing of Normal Tissues: a Way to Optimise Radiotherapy?

P. Lambin and P. Lawton

IN THIS issue, Floyd and Cassoni (pp. 615–620) assessed the reliability of a lymphocyte micronucleus assay to determine the radiosensitivity of individual patients. Levels of radiation-induced micronuclei were measured following exposures of up to 4 Gy X-rays. The variation between individuals was greater than between repeat experiments on the same individual and, in accordance with the literature, they found that cord blood lymphocytes were generally more radiosensitive than normal lymphocytes. The authors conclude that the lymphocyte micronucleus assay could have some predictive capacity for the determination of individual radiosensitivity. Unfortunately, it is difficult to be certain as to whether or not the conclusions of Floyd and Cassoni are generally valid. Lymphocytes are a heterogeneous cell population, especially with regard to the proportion of lymphocyte subtypes. Furthermore, they die

after irradiation both by reproductive death and by apoptosis, in contrast to the majority of cell types which die mainly by a reproductive death. Despite these limitations, this is an important study. We urgently need a reliable assay for predicting the radiosensitivity of normal tissues before radiotherapy is commenced. In order to achieve this, it is essential to understand the relationship between the *in vitro* radiosensitivity of different cell types and the clinical response to radiotherapy.

### A subpopulation of radiosensitive patients

There is evidence to suggest that both tumours and normal tissues show interindividual differences in intrinsic radiosensitivity. In 1975, Taylor and colleagues noted a correlation between the cellular radiosensitivity of skin fibroblasts and severe reactions to radiotherapy in an individual with the genetic disorder ataxia teleangiectasia (AT) [1]. There have now been a number of published retrospective studies of individuals showing severe reactions to radiotherapy where cultured fibroblasts have shown excessive *in vitro* radiosensitivity [2–4].

AT is a rare genetic disorder but it has been estimated that about 1% of the population are carriers for the AT gene. These

Correspondence to P. Lambin at University Hospital St Rafaël, Department of Radiotherapy, Leuven, Belgium.

P. Lawton is at the Gray Laboratory, Mount Vernon Hospital, Northwood, Middlesex, U.K.

Received 23 Nov. 1993; accepted 9 Dec. 1993.

individuals may be more sensitive to radiation and are more likely to develop cancer than the normal population [5]. It has been suggested that as many as 18% of all patients with breast cancer may carry the AT gene [6], so that there may be selection for this gene in the irradiated population.

#### Optimisation of radiation therapy

The possible benefit of a change in treatment strategy must always consider simultaneously the effects on tumour response and on normal tissue damage, i.e. the therapeutic index. Tolerance doses of radiation are currently set on the basis of injury occurring in a determined minority of the patient population. The 5% or so of patients who suffer major radiation injuries essentially limit the dose that is delivered to all the irradiated patients. It has been calculated that removing the 5, 10 or 15% of the most radiosensitive patients from the treated group would allow higher radiation doses to be given to the remainder without increasing overall complication rates [7]. This study suggests that tumour control rates could be considerably improved even if genetically determined variations in radiosensitivity were expressed to the same extent in both normal tissue and tumour cells. The radiosensitive patients will not necessarily be denied radiation therapy. Rather, they would probably receive therapy but with some reduction in dose per fraction and total dose that corresponds to the increase in radiosensitivity found for their normal cells. It might then be possible to treat the remaining patients to a higher dose level.

#### Which assay should be used?

Several small studies have suggested that *in vitro* fibroblast radiosensitivity, measured in a clonogenic assay, predicts for late normal tissue effects seen in patients [2, 4]. This technique, however, takes 6–8 weeks to obtain a result. But compared to fibroblasts, lymphocyte assays offer the advantages that the method is rapid (results can be obtained within 1 week) and samples can be easily obtained from peripheral blood rather than from a skin biopsy. This makes the lymphocyte an attractive cell type for prospective radiosensitivity testing studies. But the relevance of lymphocyte radiosensitivity for the clinic is still controversial. Lymphocyte cultures have been used to confirm the radiosensitivity of AT compared to normal individuals [8]. DNA damage and repair in freshly drawn blood samples have been measured using nuclear-lysate sedimentation [9]. With this technique, the lymphocytes of a patient with T cell lymphoma, who was unusually radiosensitive during radiotherapy, showed no restoration of sedimentation behaviour when irradiated *in vitro* [10]. In a comparison of lymphocyte repair in healthy donors versus cervix carcinoma patients presenting with bowel complications, Deeley and Moore [11] demonstrated poor repair in 7 and 44%, respectively.

On the other hand, some authors [4, 12, 13] did not find a correlation between radiosensitivity of lymphocytes and fibroblasts. Furthermore Geara and colleagues [4] did not find a significant correlation between lymphocyte radiosensitivity and either acute or late effects clinically evaluated in patients.

In conclusion, there is evidence that patients differ significantly in their radiosensitivity and, more specifically, that the fraction of sensitive patients could be higher in the cancer population. The removal of this radiosensitive subpopulation might allow clinicians to treat the rest more aggressively without any increase in complications and with an improvement in local tumour control. The data so far suggest that the *in vitro* radiosensitivity of fibroblasts does correlate with the late effect of radiation in clinical practice. An assay using lymphocytes would have several practical advantages, but the clinical relevance of this model must still be evaluated prospectively in a population of irradiated patients.

1. Taylor AMR, Harden DG, Arlett CF, *et al.* Ataxia telangiectasia: a human mutation with abnormal radiation sensitivity. *Nature*, 1975, 258, 427–429.
2. Burnet NG, Nyman J, Turesson I, Wurm R, Yarnold JR, Peacock JH. Prediction of normal-tissue tolerance to radiotherapy from *in vitro* cellular radiation sensitivity. *Lancet*, 1992, 339, 1570–1571.
3. Loeffler JS, Harris JR, Dahlberg MS, Little JB. *In vitro* radiosensitivity of human diploid fibroblasts derived from women with unusually sensitive clinical responses to definitive radiation therapy for breast cancer. *Radiat Res* 1990, 121, 227–231.
4. Geara FB, Peters LJ, Ang KK, Wike LJ, Brock WA. Prospective comparison of *in vitro* normal cell radiosensitivity and normal tissue reactions in radiotherapy patients. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1993, 27, 1173–1179.
5. Arlett CF, Priestley A. An assessment of the radiosensitivity of ataxia-telangiectasia heterozygotes. In Gatti RA, Swift M, eds. *Ataxia-Telangiectasia: Genetics, Neuropathology and Immunology of a Degenerative Disease of Childhood*. New York, Alan R. Liss, 1985, 101–109.
6. Swift M, Reitnauer PJ, Morrell D, Chase CL. Breast and other cancers in families with ataxia-telangiectasia. *N Engl J Med* 1987, 316, 1289–1294.
7. Norman A, Kagan AR, Chan SL. The importance of genetics for the optimization of radiation therapy. *Am J Clin Oncol* 1988, 11, 84–88.
8. Harris G, Cole H, Arlett C, *et al.* Diagnosis of ataxia-telangiectasia by T-lymphocyte cloning assay. *Lancet* 1985, ii, 1242.
9. Harris G, Cramp WA, Eswards JC, *et al.* Radiosensitivity of peripheral blood lymphocytes in autoimmune disease. *Int J Radiat Biol* 1985, 47, 689–699.
10. Sabovljevic SA, Cramp WA, Lewis PD, Harris G, Halnan KE, Lambert J. Use of rapid tests of cellular radiosensitivity in radiotherapeutic practice. *Lancet* 1985, ii, 787.
11. Deeley JOT, Moore JL. Nuclear lysate sedimentation measurements of peripheral blood lymphocytes from radiotherapy patients. *Int J Radiat Biol* 1989, 56, 963–973.
12. Green MHL, Arlett CF, Cole J, *et al.* Comparative human cellular radiosensitivity: III. Gamma-radiation survival of cultured skin fibroblasts and resting T-lymphocytes from the peripheral blood of the same individual. *Int J Radiat Oncol* 1991, 59, 749–765.
13. Kushihiro JI, Nakamura N, Kyoizumi S, Nishiki M, Dohi K, Akiyama M. Absence of correlations between radiosensitivities of human T-lymphocytes in G0 and skin fibroblasts in log phase. Technical Report RERF TR 1989, 17–89.

**Acknowledgements**—P. Lawton is a CRC Clinical Fellow funded by the Cancer Research Campaign, U.K. We would like to acknowledge Lea Minnen for secretarial assistance.